## DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

## Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

in the matter of

MARC SOBEL

Applicant for Certain Part 90 Authorizations in the Los Angels Area and Requestor of Certain Finder's Preferences

MARC SOBEL AND MARC SOBEL
D/B/A AIR WAVE COMMUNICATIONS

Licensee of Certain Part 90 Stations in the Los Angeles Area

JAMES A. KAY, JR.

Licensee of one hundred fifty two Part 90 Licenses in the Los Angeles, California area

To: The Commission

WT Docket No. 97-56

RECEIVED

DEC 8 - 1997

FEDERAL CONTRUMICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECREDARY

WT Docket No. 94-147

## **EMERGENCY MOTION FOR SPECIAL RELIEF**

Marc D. Sobel d/b/a Air Wave Communications ("Sobel"), by his attorney, hereby submits this emergency motion for special relief. Specifically, Sobel asks the Commission to stay the effectiveness of the *Memorandum Opinion and Order* ("MO&O") (FCC 97M-201; Issued 5 December 1997; to be released 9 December 1997) Issued by Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Richard L. Sippel in WT Docket No. 94-147 (copy attached). In support of this motion, the following is respectfully shown:

1. On 28 November 1997 the Commission released the *Initial Decision of Administrative Law Judge John M. Frysiak* (FCC 97D-13), wherein ALJ Frysiak recommended, *inter alia*, findings and conclusions that Sobel had misrepresented to and lacked candor with the Commission, principally on the basis of the perceived inconsistency between a 30 December 1994 agreement between Sobel and James A. Kay, Jr., and a 24 January 1995 affidavit that was filed by Kay in WT Docket No. 94-157. As the Commission is aware, Sobel intends to submit a timely appeal of the initial decision, see Sobel's 2 December 1997 *Motion for Extension of Time* in WT Docket No. 97-56, which will result in an automatic stay of the effectiveness of the initial decision. 47 C.F.R. §1.276(d)(1) ("The timely filing of exceptions ...

shall stay the effectiveness of the initial decision until the Commission's review thereof has been completed.").

- 2. Sobel objects to the provisions of the MO&O authorizing the Bureau to question Sobel on matters relating to WT Docket No. 97-56. Allowing the Bureau to depose Sobel regarding the specific matters at issue in his hearing before the initial decision has become effective and before he has even had the opportunity to present his appeal is in error. It is extremely unfair for Sobel to have to undergo discovery on matters simultaneously with his prosecution of an appeal of those same issues. Sobel therefore respectfully asks the Commission to stay the effectiveness of the MO&O insofar as it authorizes the Bureau to depose Sobel on matters within the scope of the issues in WT Docket No. 97-56 prior to a final Commission ruling in that proceeding. The requested stay is required to protect Sobel's procedural due process rights. Moreover, it will not unduly prejudice the Bureau. In the context of WT Docket No. 97-56, the Bureau has already had full discovery on this issue, including extensive document production and depositions of both Sobel and Mr. Kay, as well as a full hearing on the subject. It is therefore not necessary to make this further imposition on Sobel before he has even had an opportunity to appeal the initial decision.
- Even if it were otherwise proper for the Bureau to depose Sobel on matters within the scope of WT Docket No. 97-56, Sobel has not been given adequate notice to allow for any such examination at the deposition scheduled for tomorrow, Tuesday 9 December 1997 in Los Angeles. The MO&O providing for such questioning was issued on Friday 5 December 1997, less than two business days prior to the scheduled deposition, and is apparently not being officially released until Tuesday 9 December 1997, the actual day of the deposition. Pursuant to Section 1.315(a) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, 47 C.F.R. § 1.315(a)(3), Sobel is entitled to 21 days written notice of the matters on which he will be examined. To spring an entirely new line of inquiry on him on the eve of the deposition is entirely improper and in direct violation of the procedural safeguards of Section 1.315(a)(3).
- 4. For the reasons stated above, Sobel has been advised by counsel not to answer any questions relating to the issues designated in WT Docket No. 97-56 pending Commission action on this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ALJ Sippel did not contact Sobel to offer him an opportunity for comment prior to issuing the MO&O, nor did he make any attempt to contact Sobel upon issuance of the MO&O. Counsel for Sobel was advised of the MO&O by counsel for Kay.

motion. Sobel will, however, voluntarily appear at the scheduled deposition and answer, to the extent of his personal knowledge and subject to valid legal objections, questions relating to the issues designated in WT Docket No. 94-147.

WHEREFORE, it is requested that the Commission stay the effectiveness of the MO&O to the extent that it permits the Bureau to question Sobel on matters within the scope of WT Docket No. 97-56 pending final Commission action in that proceeding. In the alternative, it is requested that the Commission declare that any deposition of Sobel on matters within the scope of WT Docket No. 97-56 may not proceed until Sobel has been afforded 21 days written notice thereof.

Respectfully submitted,

MARC D. SOBEL D/B/A AIRWAYE COMMUNICATIONS

By:

Robert J. Keller

Law Office of Robert J. Keller, P.C. 4200 WISCONSIN AVE NW #106-233 WASHINGTON DC 20016-2143

Telephone: 301-229-6875 Facsimile: 301-229-6875 Email: rjk@telcomtaw.com

Dated: 8 December 1997

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Robert J. Keller, counsel for Marc D. Sobel d/b/a Air Wave Communications, hereby certify that on this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of December, 1997, I caused copies of the foregoing *MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME* to be sent by facsimile and/or hand delivery, except as otherwise indicated below, to the parties in WT Docket No. 97-56 and to the presiding officer in WT Docket No. 94-147, as follows:

GARY SHCONMAN ESQ
JOHN SCHAUBLE ESQ
ENFORCEMENT DVISION
WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS BUREAU
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
2025 M ST NW STE 8308
WASHINGTON DC 20554-C002

WILLIAM H KNOWLES-KELLTT ESQ
GETTYSBURG OFFICE OF OPERATIONS
WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICAITONS BUREAU
FEDERAL COMMUNIATIONS COMMISSION
1270 FAIRFIELD RD
GETTYSBURG PA 17325-7245

BARRY A FRIEDMAN ESQ SCOTT A FENSKE ESQ THOMPSON HINE & FLORY LLP 1920 N ST NW STE 800 WASHINGTON DC 20038-1801

HON RICHARD L SIPPEL
ADMINSTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
2000 L ST NW - SECOND FLOOR
WASHINGTON DC 20554-0003

Robert J. Keller (

Counsel for Marc D. Sobel d/b/a Air Wave Communications

ROBERT J. KELLER, P.C.

4200 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W. #106-233

Washington, DC 20016-2143

Telephone: 301-320-5355 Facsimile: 301-229-6875 Email: rjk@telcomlaw.com